Agencies are starting to deploy double sequential defibrillation in the field—and the results are promising. See page 6.
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The pace of change in EMS seems to be accelerating like never before.

First they stand. Then they walk. Then they run. In 2011, EMS is really picking up speed.

It feels like a time of profound and rapid advances, doesn’t it? From improved cardiac resuscitation to potential opportunities under healthcare reform to field EMS legislation in Congress to the whole range of new and beneficial interventions making their way into the field, the rate of progress seems to be accelerating.

This special section takes a look out the window at where we are today. Drawn from content delivered at the annual EMS State of the Sciences (Gathering of Eagles) and NAEMSP conferences, it reports on important advances, clinical and operational, that are helping EMS systems deliver better care and operate more efficiently. Top docs help us add some perspective to what it means to you.

Have a look at what’s new and exciting. Today, it’s more important than ever to keep up to speed.
Q&A with Paul Pepe from the Gathering of Eagles

Following a 13-year tradition, nearly 1,000 EMS educators, medical directors, chiefs and paramedics from across the country converged on Dallas at the end of February for a weekend of lively discussions and the occasional debate about the state of EMS and critical issues facing prehospital care. EMS World recently spoke with legendary emergency physician Paul Pepe, MD, MPH, FCCM, FACEP, who is largely responsible for organizing the annual event known formally as the EMS State of the Sciences Conference, or more familiarly as A Gathering of Eagles.

What makes this event so unique and popular?

Part of what makes it fun is that we actually don’t know what’s on the program until everyone gets here. It’s not advertised—it’s strictly word of mouth—but it’s become the place to be. We start off with a history of Eagles, since about a third of the audience is new each year, and then Corey Slovis, MD, from Nashville opens with a funny video and presentation of what he calls “The Pentagon Papers: The Five Most Important Publications of the Past Year.” That sets the tone for the rest of the meeting.

What were some of the highlights this year?

We started off with results of the North American multicenter ITD trial on resuscitation outcomes presented by Tom Aufderheide, who was the principal investigator. That was followed by a paper on the ResQPOD compression/decompression pump trial from R.J. Frascone from St. Paul. Following that, Kathleen Schrank from Miami looked at the new ACLS guidelines and which of them she would and would not follow. Craig Manifold looked at early intraosseous administration of epinephrine and noted that in San Antonio they’ve seen improved outcomes by getting the IO in right away and not waiting to start an IV.

Juliette Saussy reported on an interesting development in New Orleans where they’re using two defibrillators simultaneously on patients they can’t get out of VF after the first shock or two. Paul Hinchey of Austin then picked up on something I’ve been doing for years called the “pit crew approach” to cardiac arrest where everyone on scene knows exactly what his or her job is when they get to the patient—just like a pit crew.

Some other issues touched on included end-tidal CO2 as a gold standard for airway management and how it is useful in sepsis; comparison of military and 9-1-1 use of tourniquets for trauma; and the pros and cons of collar use and how putting on a collar incorrectly or unnecessarily can actually make a neck injury worse. ACEP President Sandra Schneider predicted that paramedics will be used more frequently for home visits and checks.

How does the future look for Eagles?

We had to turn away a lot of vendors and attendees for this meeting because we sold out so rapidly. It’s clear we’ll have to expand somehow so more people will be able to attend. But there are two things you can count on: It still won’t be advertised, and you won’t know what’s on the agenda until you show up. Even as we grow, there’s still a certain intimacy to the meeting. At most conferences, faculty come in, give their talk, and they’re out of there. With Eagles, they all stay through the entire meeting and attendees get to interface with them, including during lunch breaks.

Explain the lightning rounds.

That’s when all the Eagles come up on stage and people in the audience ask questions that require rapid-fire answers. Then we do reverse lightning rounds where members of the audience come up and answer questions about the problems they’re facing in their own EMS systems.

The 2011 Gathering of Eagles conference allows attendees to learn from EMS medical directors from across the country and around the world.
Do you solicit particular content, or do people come to you with proposals?

We solicit them. Occasionally we'll have folks come to us, but it's pretty unusual they offer something we think would be a good fit for us. People who come to you to present ideas that way are usually trying to sell something.

How do you go about selecting topics and matching them to speakers?

When I was program chair, we solicited the membership to find out what areas interested them. We broke it down into key topic areas, then asked, within those areas, what people wanted to see. The members sparked ideas that way, and we'd take those and attract speakers.

A lot of ideas are generated from within the program committee as well. We all follow the literature. *Prehospital Emergency Care* is our peer-review journal, and you can go back through a year's worth of PEC and kind of see what the general themes were and what people were talking about. Then also we collaborate—we have discussions and partnerships with people who have been to other EMS conferences and events and heard interesting topics and suggested speakers to us.

And then there is the news. What's new and current? We're already talking about getting someone to come talk about the response to the Japanese tsunami.

What goes into a good presentation?

Three things: first, it's on topic—that's most important; second, it's short and to the point—that's absolutely vital; and third, it has to have a solid take-home value. There have to be one or two things in the presentation that people can take back to their EMS systems and say, “You know what? Here is something that worked in this other place, and it's something that can work in our system, and this is how we can make it work.”

What is the role of groups like NAEMSP in bringing new ideas to the EMS masses?

We have a very tight niche: prehospital emergency care administration and leadership. Our role is to have an understanding of what's out there, and know how to take what's out there and implement it into the street in a sensible way that's safe and patient-centered. That's not an easy thing—it's not something someone can just walk in off the street and do. That's why EMS became a subspecialty.

For information on the 2012 NAEMSP Annual Conference, visit www.naemsp.org.
Hold the Coroner

Could double sequential defib stop refractory v-fib?

our patient’s in v-fib, and nothing’s bringing him out. You’ve tried everything in the arsenal—continuous compressions, repeated shocks, all the drugs; nothing breaks it. Twenty minutes pass, then 25. The tube’s fine, the EtCO2 normal, the patient takes an occasional spontaneous breath, but the heart fibs on.

What do you do now? Is it time to call it a day?

Not so fast. A few U.S. systems are now permitting a novel last-gasp effort to save patients like this: double sequential defibrillation, using two AEDs to deliver a final big blast of energy before writing a victim off.

It doesn’t always work. We don’t know a lot about why it works when it does. There aren’t many numbers to look at. But in a presentation at February’s EMS State of the Sciences Conference in Dallas, former New Orleans EMS Director Juliette Saussy, MD, told of achieving several instances of return of spontaneous circulation with the measure, and even of a neurologically intact survivor to hospital discharge.

Big Easy medics employed the double defib 16 times in a year, Saussy said. Four recipients achieved ROSC sustained to the hospital. One, a 64-year-old female, ultimately went home neurologically intact.

The protocol actually originated with Wake County EMS in North Carolina where medical control docs kept getting calls from frustrated medics who had gone through their protocols for VF/PVT, then persistent VF/PVT, and couldn’t get their patients out of it.

“Under the old CPR,” says Brent Myers, MD, MPH, medical director of Wake County’s Department of EMS, “our hunch is that the perfusion was so poor, with all the breaks everybody was taking, that these patients wouldn’t stay in fibrillation—they would deteriorate into asystole. Now, with the continuous compressions and everything else, they weren’t doing that, and we didn’t really have a whole lot to offer our crews. We’d go through the ACLS algorithm and look at all the correctable causes, but just not be able to get these people out of fibrillation.”

System leaders turned to local cardiologists for ideas. “The first words out of every one of their mouths,” says Myers, “were, ‘Have you tried the second defibrillator yet?’”

Turns out there are some references to this in the cardiology literature. Much of it deals with refractory atrial fibrillation, though some looks at ventricular arrhythmias too. Back in 1994, a team led by New York cardiologist David Hoch looked at sequential shocks from two defibrillators after unsuccessful single shocks for refractory VF during routine electrophysiologic studies. Refractory v-fib,
Hoch’s team noted, can occur in up to 0.1% of EP studies, but animal studies have shown that rapid sequential shocks may reduce its threshold. Among almost 3,000 consecutive patients, only five needed the double shocks, but all five were resuscitated successfully. “This technique of rapid double sequential external shocks may have general applicability,” Hoch’s team concluded, “providing a simple and potentially lifesaving approach to refractory ventricular fibrillation.”

The shocks in Hoch’s study were delivered 0.5–4.5 seconds apart. Wake’s protocol directs the dual defibrillation occur “as synchronously as possible,” recognizing, Myers says, the limitations of a single rescuer in the field trying to activate both defibrillators simultaneously.

A 2005 Mexican study of 21 patients with paroxysmal or persistent atrial fibrillation saw 19 achieve sinus rhythm with double sequential shocks; its authors termed the intervention “safe and highly efficacious.”

In a 2004 Turkish study of 15 patients with refractory a-fib and heart disease, 13 achieved sinus after simultaneous shocks totaling 720 joules. Eleven of those maintained it six months later. Overall, Myers says, there’s not a huge volume of literature, but what there is clearly suggests the double-shock gambit is safe.

WHY IT WORKS

Why might it work? Some hypotheses:

• It’s a vector issue, where using four pads instead of two creates a broader energy vector.
• It’s a duration issue, related to what is basically a single prolonged shock delivered by consecutive defibrillations. In that case, consecutive may be better than simultaneous.
• It’s an energy issue, relating to the sheer number of joules delivered. In that case, simultaneous may be better than consecutive.

“Which of the three it is, we don’t know,” says Myers. “To me, the most likely is the broadened vector. In talking with crews and being on some of these scenes, the body habitus of the person in fibrin does not seem to be predictive. Some of these people have a body mass index of 30, some have a body mass index of 18—they’re all over the board. So it doesn’t strike me as purely an impedance thing or purely an energy thing, because the body habitus of the patients who have this problem just doesn’t seem to support that. But that’s very anecdotal, and I don’t have any definitive evidence one way or the other.”

Wake formally implemented its double sequential external defibrillation protocol in April 2010, and was waiting for a year’s worth of data before assessing potential benefit. They’ve regained some perfusing rhythms, Myers says, but not tracked patients to hospital disposition yet. They should know more soon. In the meantime, although emphasizing the intervention’s safety, Myers cautions systems about rushing ahead with it.

“I think the way to look at this is, we have this new clinical problem of persistent v-fib,” he says. “With the old resuscitation techniques, people didn’t make it this far in the prehospital setting, so we never had to deal with it. Now we have this new clinical entity, and we’re trying to bring the best evidence we can to give people some recommendations. I can’t say this is the best way. What I can say is that it’s not doing any harm. We use it at a point in the resuscitation where we’re running out of options. It may be a viable alternative, but a medical director has to take into account the entirety of their situation and see if it’s something that makes sense in their community.”

If, as Saussy noted, the alternative is calling the coroner, that’s something a medical director might at least want to think about.

References
n EMS, adversity is what we do. We’re used to being dealt bad hands and spinning the proverbial stuff into Shinola. In that sense, it’s not a surprise that an enterprising system could turn a sudden shortage of a needed drug into a positive for its patients and itself.

In this case the drug was 50% dextrose solution for injection, which, as many services experienced, was in short supply following a spike in demand last year.

The system was Oklahoma’s Emergency Medical Services Authority (EMSA), which serves around 1.25 million residents in Oklahoma City, Tulsa and surrounding areas. How EMSA handled its D50 drying up can be a lesson for systems facing shortages anywhere.

“Personally,” says EMSA Medical Director Jeffrey Goodloe, MD, NREMT-P, “I think the drug shortage last year was one of the best things that ever happened to our system in terms of operational and financial efficiency.”

HAVING VS. USING

When the dextrose started to dwindle, EMSA leaders had to assess some things:

- What crews actually used;
- What units normally carried;
- What was kept in reserve.

What units carried was 10 or more amps per ambulance, three per paramedic engine. What they used, well, that turned out to be a bit of a surprise.

The belief was that crews were regularly seeing some diabetics who had chronic problems managing their blood sugar, and that some particularly bad-off patients required more than one amp of D50 on a single run. Neither turned out to be the case, Goodloe told attendees at the EMS State of the Sciences Conference in February. A review of run data showed that over six months, there had been but a single “power user,” who had required seven amps in that half-year, and not a single patient who had required more than one amp on a call.

“This really showed the power of data driving decisions, and not best guesses or hunches,” says Goodloe. “We’d assumed we were using dextrose 50% for individual patients multiple times every few months, or had folks with episodes of such profound hypoglycemia that they required two-plus administrations in one patient encounter. What we found was, we don’t have any power users in our system. The typical patient in a six-month time frame whom we were managing for diabetic complications, we only saw once in that six-month time frame.”

Knowing that, EMSA could adjust what it carried on units with confidence crews wouldn’t be caught short. Leaders cut ambulance stocks from 10 amps to 4, freeing up hundreds of amps to add back to an inventory that had shrunk to less than a week’s worth. “The supply room,” says Goodloe, “went from not having enough to us having to find extra boxes to put it all in.” They also placed an order for the drug whenever they could get it.

And then EMSA turned the process to its other drugs. It will ultimately repeat it for every one its units carry. That’s a long process and not yet complete, but eventually, all unit stocking and reserve inventory will be tied to demonstrated use.

So far leaders have found some drugs, particularly those used a lot, were carried in appropriate quantities. But others, less frequently used, are proving to be overstocked.

“In most situations, we’re finding we can actually cut the amount of pharmaceuticals needed to resupply,” says Goodloe. “On top of that, we can cut back on some of what’s being carried, but not administered as much as we thought it was.”

It’s a lot of data to analyze. EMSA gives pharmaceuticals on almost 30% of its runs, or around 45,000-50,000 calls a year. But major metropolitan systems replicating this procedure, Goodloe suggested at Eagles, could save up to a quarter million dollars. That’s a lot of money that could be reinvested in patient care, people and other priorities.

THE LEMONADE-MAKING MACHINE

One caution for systems moving ahead with this kind of review and recalibration: Be careful how it might come off to local lawmakers or media. “You have to be careful, when you talk about this way of saving money, that you don’t erroneously broadcast that your system has been frivolous with its spending,” says Goodloe. “We look at it as being smarter—we’re looking at things in a different way. But you have to be careful as...
you move forward that your past practice isn’t held against you.”

In fact, many systems only now have the readily available kinds of data to facilitate this kind of appraisal. EMSA’s results are another good argument for getting it. No operational decision should be based on a hunch.

As you crunch the numbers and make any adjustments, it’s important to keep field troops in the loop as well. They have to know leaders aren’t just squeezing the drugs for profit, but are making data-driven decisions that will make their operation leaner, but not endanger patients or make care harder.

“The goal is to ultimately deliver the best clinical performance possible, and to profession-ally support the clinicians giving that care. And it takes real, hard dollars to do that,” says Goodloe. “This is a way to help generate some of those dollars to drive that clinical ability through equipment, through training, and through recruitment and retention of qualified professionals. But you have to do it in a stepwise approach.

“We just simply took a shortage of one medication and said, ‘You know, this is not a lemon coming our way. This is the proverbial opportunity to make lemonade.’ So we basically designed a lemonade-making machine to look at all the pharmaceuticals and better appraise what we use, carry and supply. And when we do that, that’s a pretty big cost savings to a large system.”

“"The drug shortage was one of the best things that ever happened to our system.”"
Focus on the Literature

At each year’s EMS State of the Sciences Conference, Nashville EMS medical director Corey Slovis, MD, presents his five most important EMS studies of the preceding year. Here are his top choices for 2010.

**ACLS**

The evidence showing compression-only CPR works as well as the traditional version continues to mount, but there have been other important recent changes to CPR and emergency cardiovascular care. Major points of the 2010 international consensus treatment recommendations concern intubation, capnography, atropine, adenosine and therapeutic hypothermia. Some pearls:

- Waveform capnography is recommended for ETI placement confirmation; colorimetric is acceptable.
- Atropine is no longer recommended for PEA or asystole.
- Adenosine may be useful for paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia and can be used in regular monomorphic wide-complex tachycardias.


**Bougie Use**

With a simulated difficult airway, Pennsylvania researchers found, bougie-assisted intubation is more successful than traditional ETI without increasing intubation time. In this study, 35 paramedics, flight nurses and emergency physicians randomly intubated a manikin both ways; using the bougie resulted in 94% success, ETI 77%. Half of subjects said using the bougie was easier, while just 9% preferred the ET tube.


**Oxygen and COPD**

Researchers in Tasmania compared standard high-flow oxygen to titrated oxygen for prehospital patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. They found the risk of death was significantly lower in the titrated oxygen group for patients with COPD and overall. Overall mortality was 9% in the high-flow group vs. 4% in the titrated group; mortality in the COPD subgroup was 9% vs. 2% respectively. Titrated oxygen treatment reduced mortality compared with high-flow oxygen by 58% for all patients and by 78% for patients with COPD. Titrated COPD patients were also less likely to have respiratory acidosis or hypercapnia.


**Easier Intubation**

What else can we do to make difficult airways easier? Recent literature has addressed ways to better intubate obese patients (two people/two hands, videoscope, early bougie/supraglottic, CPAP, ketamine); the two-handed “EC-clamp” technique for mask ventilation of unconscious and apneic patients (the former is superior); and a new position for intubation: the Alexandrou Angle, which elevates the supine patient’s head 20°–30° in relation to the body and legs. Students in New York who tried different positions on manikins preferred this position to flat or Trendelenburg for visualizing the vocal cords.


**Nasal Oxygen for Obese Patients**

Michigan researchers sought to evaluate the influence of nasal oxygen on the duration of arterial oxygen saturation of 95% or more during simulated difficult laryngoscopies on obese patients. Half of their 30 patients got additional nasal O2 during periods of apnea while anesthetized, and they measured duration of SpO2 greater than or equal to 55% up to a maximum of 6 minutes, as well as lowest SpO2 values and time to regain 100% SpO2.

Their results: Giving the nasal O2 was associated with significant prolongation of SpO2 time of 95% or more; a significant increase in patients with apnea but SpO2 greater than or equal to 95% at 6 minutes; and significantly higher minimum SpO2. Resaturation times were no different. The nasal O2, they concluded, is associated with significant increases in frequency and duration of SpO2 greater than or equal to 95%, and higher minimum SpO2 during prolonged laryngoscopy in obese patients.

A rising tide lifts all boats, as they say, and so it is with increasing demand for EMS. The climbing call numbers faced by many systems include both direly ill and injured citizens in true extremis, but also numbers of less-sick and not-so-terribly-hurt callers whose needs can be appropriately met through other avenues.

“Many times patients can be seen there more quickly than they would be in an emergency department.”

Recognizing and redirecting the latter helps you better serve the former, and those twin benefits underlie the Clinical Assessment Units (CAU) conceived by the London Ambulance Service in England.

LAS knows a bit about demand: It gets around 4,000 calls a day, nearly a quarter of them for immediate life threats. Cumulatively it tallies more than a million incidents a year across a service population that can swell as high as 9.5 million.

Many of those callers, service leaders believe, can have their needs safely met through the CAUs: solo paramedics in nontransport vehicles sent to calls to assess patients and determine suitable care pathways for them.

If, upon assessment, their patients are found to need ambulances, the CAU medics can call them. Alternatively, they can treat and release those patients, if they deem it sufficient, or direct them to primary care, walk-in clinics or other facilities that can meet their needs without transport to an emergency department.

“It’s partly about managing rising demand,” says LAS Medical Director Fionna Moore, MD, “but also because there’s a strong feeling that some patients who currently go to emergency departments could be managed quite properly by referral to a primary care physician or general practitioner, or perhaps by going to a minor-injuries unit or urgent care center. Many times patients can be seen low-priority calls and thus reduce ambulance demand and hospital transports.

Putting those ideas into practice required some groundwork. LAS leaders first had to collaborate with potential local destination facilities that had differing hours, capabilities and levels of medical expertise, so as to know which were feasible for which patients. Jointly they developed a list of minor injuries and illnesses that could safely be directed to these destinations. “Basically it’s minor.

Different Destinations
London’s Clinical Assessment Units help steer patients right

Figure 1
Call Categorization
RED 1: Actual death imminent (e.g., unconscious not breathing)
RED 2: Possible death imminent (e.g., unconsciousness/not alert with other signs like mechanism of injury)
RED 3: Risk of imminent death (breathing and conscious but at high risk)
AMBER 1: Definitely serious (not immediately life threatening but require urgent on-scene assessment, treatment and conveyance)
AMBER 2: Possibly serious (not immediately life threatening and no specific gain from immediate treatment on scene or in A&E)
GREEN 1: Requiring assessment and/or transport (not life threatening or serious, but needs assistance)
GREEN 2: Suitable for telephone triage and/or advice (probably no need for transport—telephone consultation can be used to determine need)
conditions like coughs, colds and minor rashes,” says Moore, “and then minor injuries that won’t need an x-ray and generally won’t need suturing.”

Paramedics manning the CAUs also needed expanded training. This included enhanced patient assessment: taking more detailed histories on presenting complaints, drugs and medical backgrounds; and performing more detailed physical evaluations, with good, supportive documentation.

The CAUs were first trialed last year in Barnehurst, southeast of London. In 24 hours, they resulted in a 36% reduction in ED transports. The program was expanded to Greenwich before being temporarily stalled, but is hoped to resume in Bromley later this year.

**APPROPRIATE PATHWAYS**

Beyond the extra training and collaboration with local healthcare facilities, there are a few other important considerations to the CAU program.

One is the overall mixture of vehicles on the road. LAS has historically used cars to help meet demand, backed up by ambulances, but ended up averaging around 1.6 resources sent to every call.

“Clearly that’s pretty wasteful,” Moore says, “but you do need to decide what your safe level of service is. Because what you don’t want is to use your cars to assess patients but at high risk), amber and green level calls got just the solo response, with response allocation prioritized by skill level to optimize use of clinical assessment pathways.

Medics determining where patients need to go and with what urgency also need ample clinical support to back them up. LAS medics have 24/7 access to online medical control (doctors or senior paramedics), and the service is looking at enhancing the skills of its “team leaders” in the field (it has approximately 180 around London), and ultimately introducing advanced paramedic practitioners and paramedic consultants. This will have the dual benefit of bringing more clinical expertise to the field and expanding career opportunities for ambitious personnel.

Finally there’s an educational component. For the public, when people call 9-9-9 (the U.K. equivalent to 9-1-1), they expect an ambulance and transport, not a medic who steers them to urgent care. They need to know their needs are being met fully and safely. And for LAS medics, Moore wants to emphasize this isn’t just a way to leave more people at home.

“We have to get the message across that this is about referring patients to appropriate care pathways,” she says. “There may be more people you can leave at home, but you can only do that safely, and that means a lot of additional training, sometimes additional clinical support, and always being aware of the potential significance of the decision not to transport someone.”

---

“In 24 hours, the Clinical Assessment Units resulted in a 36% reduction in ED transports.”
Focus on Respiration

At this year’s EMS State of the Sciences Conference, organizers grouped a handful of breathing-themed presentations under a theme of “evolving considerations in respiratory support.” Its concepts included:

**Does CPAP help prevent drug-assisted intubation?**

Prehospital CPAP seems to help prevent intubation. A small study in Nova Scotia a few years back linked CPAP for patients in severe respiratory failure to a 30% reduction in the number needing intubation. Using it in Houston reduced fire department intubations from 4.5 to 3.7 a day. In Portland, this prompted leaders to wonder if CPAP could help limit drug-facilitated intubations. The Multnomah County system had been using it since 2008 on qualified respiratory-distress patients. They reviewed data for drug-facilitated intubations from 2006–10 and found, among those patients, post-CPAP decreases in patients presenting with respiratory distress secondary to congestive heart failure and/or COPD. The effect was greatest on those presenting with CHF.

**The impact of CPAP on physiologic improvement**

With a presence in 38 states amassing three million patient contacts a year, AMR surely generates data. All those reports make it a fertile place to study the impact of CPAP on physiologic improvement. New Chief Medical Officer Ed Racht, MD, had nearly 61,000 records at his disposal for the task.

Just 2.2% of those patients received CPAP, but that’s still 1,311 patients. And yes, they improved:

More than 52% experienced improvements in their Rapid Acute Physiology Scores. RAPS is a scale that uses routinely available measures like pulse, BP, respiratory rate and GCS to gauge patients’ severity. It’s pretty good at predicting mortality. Of patients who didn’t get CPAP, 37% had increases. A fifth of CPAP patients actually had improvements of 3 or more on their RAPS (the scale runs from 0–16), vs. 12% of those without.

**Using EMT-Bs to treat asthma patients**

EpiPens came to FDNY’s BLS ambulances two years ago to better treat patients in anaphylactic shock. But what about asthma? Department leaders knew from a previous study that EMT-Bs could effectively give those patients albuterol, but epi comes with higher stakes.

It had proven pretty safe for ALS use: On 235 patients over 12 months, according to FDNY Medical Director John Freese, MD, it decreased heart rate and blood pressure, and allowed BVM ventilation to be discontinued on a third of the patients. A single death was reported. So starting April 1, 2010, a new protocol let FDNY Basics deliver a single 0.3 mg dose of epi post-albuterol to patients under 33 in severe respiratory distress or shock. The effort has been so successful, FDNY is now eyeing expanding use of its auto-injectors and dispatching BLS to all calls of asthma exacerbation.

Focus on Capnography

At this year’s EMS State of the Sciences Conference, organizers grouped a handful of capnography-themed presentations into a “mini-symposium” on end-tidal CO₂ monitoring. Its concepts included:

**EtCO₂ analysis as the “gold standard” for airway management**

Oklahoma’s Emergency Medical Services Authority (EMSA) really believes in capnography to verify tube placement. In 2009, it began suspending providers for not using it. Per a 2008 memo from the office of EMSA’s medical director, “All tracheal intubations will have EtCO₂ confirmation within 60 seconds of ETT insertion and continuous monitoring of lung ventilation.”

A protocol for confirmation of tube placement followed in 2009, with 30 days off and remedial airway education for medics who failed to utilize timely continuous post-intubation waveform capnography. In the state’s largest cities, Oklahoma City and Tulsa, the mandate covered more than 1,200 intubations in 2010.

**Using EtCO₂ analysis in sepsis syndromes**

Sepsis is the 10th-leading cause of American deaths, and severe sepsis can have a mortality rate of 30%–40%. Early goal-directed therapy begun in the ED and aimed at quickly reversing global tissue hypoxia is the best current strategy against such mortality.

That hypoxia begins early in the sepsis process and may precede changes in vital signs. A key finding is elevated serum lactate levels. As oxygen demand exceeds supply and tissue becomes hypoxic, these levels will rise, eventually resulting in lactic acidosis. EGDT is triggered with a lactate level greater than 4 mmol/L in conjunction with systolic BP less than 90 and two or more criteria for Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome (temperature less than 36°C or greater than 38°C; heart rate greater than 90 bpm; respiratory rate greater than 20 or PCO₂ less than 32 mmHg; white blood cell count less than 4,000 or greater than 12,000). It cuts mortality by roughly a third.

Beginning this trajectory is an important opportunity for EMS, argued Orange County (FL) EMS medical director George Rails, MD. Rails cited an Orlando-based study that found a “significant association” between EtCO₂ levels and in-hospital mortality in ED patients with suspected sepsis, and that EtCO₂ levels correlated inversely with lactate levels in these patients. “With future studies,” authors concluded, “[EtCO₂ monitoring] may be used as a potential risk and non-invasive stratification tool for predicting sepsis severity in this patient population.”
A Stepping Stone to Standardization

What does medical subspecialty status mean for EMS?

The declaration last year of EMS as an officially recognized medical subspecialty—so decreed by the American Board of Emergency Medicine (ABEM), one of 24 medical specialty boards under the American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS)—was met with hosannas across the prehospital community. But for the programs actually training the medical directors of tomorrow, now comes the hard part.

Among the ramifications of the long-sought decision, EMS fellowship programs could face hurdles to meet new requirements for accreditation by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME).

**BACKGROUND**

ABMS’ member boards represent 145 recognized medical specialties and subspecialties. Under ABEM, EMS joins medical toxicology, pediatric emergency medicine, sports medicine, undersea/hyperbaric medicine, and hospice/palliative medicine.

“Subspecialty certification is one of the stepping stones toward standardizing EMS care in the United States,” says Jane Brice, MD, MPH, an associate professor of emergency medicine and director of the EMS fellowship program at the University of North Carolina, who led the team writing the new fellowship curriculum.

“When you standardize the training and knowledge base EMS medical directors bring to their systems, you create a foundation on which to build. Going forward, EMS medical directors who are board certified in EMS will have had the same training, based on a standardized curriculum, and will have all passed the same test, which guarantees a foundation of knowledge. That will lead to more cohesiveness and interoperability between systems.”

ABEM will develop and administer the certification exam for graduates of accredited fellowship programs, which is expected to be ready in 2013. In the meantime, leaders of the EMS subspecialty effort have been working out exactly what those fellows will learn.

EMS already had a fellowship curriculum and supporting core content, but that was developed in 1994 and thus outdated. Both components needed revamping to be more clinical and current.

A team led by Johns Hopkins’ Michael Millin, MD, rewrote the core content, and once ABEM signed off on that, Brice’s group...
set the curriculum. The format they utilized mirrored the four volumes of the NAEMSP’s Emergency Medical Services: Clinical Practice and System Oversight text: clinical aspects of prehospital medicine; medical oversight of EMS; quality management and research; and special operations (see Figure 1).

In delivering that curriculum, accredited fellowship programs will have to meet requirements of the ACGME. And while those are still officially under development, an early draft version provides an idea of what they might entail.

They describe desired fellowship outcomes and set minimum requirements for fellows, program directors and faculty. Notably, they require of fellowship institutions instruction in a broad range of areas pertinent to EMS—not only expected fields like resuscitation, critical care, trauma and infectious disease, but also areas like air medical services, psychiatry and ophthalmology, which some fellowship programs may not currently cover.

“Our fellowship programs are currently homegrown, so they build on the strength of their institution,” says Brice. “For instance, your institution may not have an aeromedical program, so you’re not able to provide your fellow with training in aeromedical systems.

“In setting out what an EMS fellow needs to know,” Brice continues, “the EMS fellowship curriculum will require that fellowships not necessarily develop those expertises or specialties, but at least create agreements with other institutions that can provide fellows with that information, so that every fellow graduating from a fellowship program has the same foundation of knowledge.”

There’s no guidebook for this, and fellowship programs are going to encounter a variety of challenges in making their changes. One resource that might assist is the newly formed Council of EMS Fellowship Directors created at this year’s NAEMSP annual meeting and chaired by David Cone, MD, director of the EMS fellowship program at Yale. It will facilitate sharing valuable ideas and best practices as they’re identified.

“I think each fellowship is going to have its unique difficulties in meeting the requirements,” says Brice. “I don’t think anybody’s going to skate through without challenges. Working together, we hope to make this as painless as possible. But there’s going to be pain.”

---

**Our Time Finally Comes: What Tipped the Scale?**

The quest for EMS subspecialty certification began in the 1990s but failed when ABEM decided the field was too administrative and lacked a unique body of knowledge and strong scientific underpinnings.

By 2010, those views had changed. What changed them?

“I think part of it was just the maturation of EMS,” says ABEM President Mark Steele, MD.

“Over the years, the interest in EMS has grown to where there’s now really a significant critical mass of physicians practicing in the field. NAEMSP has over 800 physician members, and there are currently something like 62 EMS fellowships. Those are obviously not ACGME-accredited, but it’s assumed many will be applying.

“Also there’s the research base within EMS,” Steele continues. “Over the years, there have been thousands of articles published. And I think over time the extension of practice into the field has become more significant.

“Part of the challenge with any new subspecialty is that you have to convince ABMS that the field of practice is distinct and unique. In the early days, to differentiate standard emergency medicine care in a hospital from that in the field, from a clinical perspective, was probably more difficult. I think today it’s much clearer and the extension of clinical practice into the field is very well delineated.”
since the American Heart Association’s first set of CPR and Emergency Cardiac Care guidelines were published in 1974,1 we have all come to eagerly await the updates published every 5–6 years.

The new AHA Guidelines 2010² are now being avidly digested, dissected and debated, and EMS agencies across the country are reviewing and revising their cardiac arrest treatment protocols. As we do this, it’s crucial to remember that these are guidelines, not mandates, and we must critically evaluate what specific protocols are optimal for our patients and our personnel.

THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

It is also important to recognize how the AHA’s guidelines are developed. Most EMS personnel see only the material presented in BLS and ACLS courses, not the actual guidelines publication, worksheets, evidence rankings or journal articles. When EMS protocols do not match AHA course content completely, the result is often confusion and frustration. However, EMS medical directors must consider many factors as they adapt the guidelines to EMS use.

Development of each set of guidelines includes a staggering amount of work by hundreds of resuscitation experts from many organizations, not just the AHA. All available research articles are critiqued and ranked. Worksheets are posted for open comment as the new guidelines are debated. Eventually, an evidence-based consensus recommendation is published as “The Guidelines,” where specific interventions are categorized as Class I (should be done), IIa (reasonable to do), IIb (may be considered) or Class III (not helpful and may be harmful). Some are “Class Indeterminate,” where not enough research is available to recommend for or against that treatment.

Many answers are not clear-cut, so there are differences in guidelines published by the three main groups (AHA, International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation and European Resuscitation Council). Both medical and educational goals are considered. Overly complex guidelines are therefore avoided, while content and testing have been simplified to accommodate a broad base of learners. For example, we are taught to perform CPR in 2-minute cycles; therefore, the post-shock waiting time prior to a pulse check was set at 2 minutes in 2005 for ease of learning. Eventually, one ACLS course curriculum is created from the AHA Guidelines as a basic, evidence-based approach to cardiac arrest care for all healthcare providers.

Guidelines 2010 provide outstanding emphasis on several high-priority items for EMS to incorporate, such as:

• Simplification of public training and 9-1-1 instructions to focus on chest compressions only
• C-A-B approach, including “Push Hard, Push Fast” high-quality compressions with minimal interruptions
• Team approach to BLS and ACLS

“..."It’s crucial to remember that these are guidelines, not mandates.”..."
required to complete ACLS courses. We can and should be expected to know more and do more. Treatments that are labeled as “not for routine use in cardiac arrest” in Guidelines 2010 may benefit specific situations, while some options listed in the guidelines may not be included in the ACLS course, so our EMS protocols may include them.

While it is obviously great to treat according to best medical evidence, there are large gaps in resuscitation science where optimal treatment is unclear or simply has never been studied rigorously in humans. Survival from arrests in non-shockable rhythms is extremely poor, and optimal human research trials are therefore extremely difficult and expensive to do (and may never be done!). But there are likely to be subgroups where specific treatments may work. For example, asystole is occasionally the first arrest rhythm, not merely the end result of untreated VF in unwitnessed arrests. Similarly, refractory VF in a 40-year-old with a true sudden cardiac death event merits the addition of a second anti-arrhythmic drug (and perhaps 720 joules) if initial ACLS treatment fails, but the guidelines will not address this because good quality human studies have never been done.

There are many examples of treatments that looked fantastic in animal studies, only to be dropped from ACLS use after studies in humans failed to show improvement in long-term outcome. However, if a drug significantly improved initial ROSC but not long-term outcome, does that really mean it should be discarded? Was the problem due to the drug, to other issues (e.g., inadvertent hyperventilation or gaps in compressions during human trials), or to post-resuscitation care (especially lack of induced hypothermia)?

For all of these reasons, it is appropriate for EMS medical directors to consider a “best practice” approach to BLS and ACLS protocols for our EMS systems, based on available evidence, our experience and practicality. The evidence-based recommendations from the AHA Guidelines are a tremendous foundation that we must build upon, with variations that are appropriate for the skills of our EMS personnel and for our patients. Our primary goal must stay focused on what is best for our patients.

At the Gathering of Eagles conference, speakers presented an array of options for EMS to consider, and we learned that none of us chose to fully conform to the AHA Guidelines. Where we differ, we have studied and debated and picked the best choices—

“...where optimal treatment is unclear.”

At the Gathering of Eagles conference, speakers presented an array of options for EMS to consider, and we learned that none of us chose to fully conform to the AHA Guidelines.
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NATIONAL EMS AWARDS OF Excellence

EMS World and the National Association of Emergency Medical Technicians (NAEMT) have established the National EMS Awards of Excellence program to recognize outstanding achievement in the EMS profession. Other organizations participating include the National Association of EMS Educators (NAEMSE) and the National EMS Management Association (NEMSMA).

Visit us at EMSWorld.com/awards for more information.

The following awards are part of the program:

Dick Ferneau Paid EMS Service of the Year
Recognizes outstanding performance by a paid EMS service.

NEMSMA EMS Executive of the Year
Recognizes outstanding performance by an EMS manager.

NAEMT Paramedic of the Year
Recognizes a paramedic who demonstrates excellence in the performance of emergency medical services, with their primary role being that of prehospital patient care.

Impact® Volunteer EMS Service of the Year
Recognizes outstanding performance by a volunteer EMS service.

NAEMSE EMS Educator of the Year
Referred to as the "Unsung Hero Award," this award is presented by NAEMSE to an educator from around the world in recognition of their contributions to the EMS community.

NAEMT EMT of the Year
Recognizes an emergency medical technician who demonstrates excellence in the performance of emergency medical services, with their primary role being that of prehospital patient care.

Award recipients will receive a $1000 check, three core program registrations, plus $1000 for travel and lodging at the EMS World Expo/NAEMT Annual Meeting in Las Vegas, NV, August 30 - September 2, and will be recognized at the opening ceremony and at the corresponding association meeting. For more information on EMS World Expo, visit www.emsworldexpo.com.

Nominations for the 2011 awards will be available on participating organizations’ websites, or visit EMSWorld.com/awards. Nomination Deadline: June 1, 2011.
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